



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/075,375	05/07/1998	HARRY Y. YAMAMOTO	CGNE119-2US	3584
7590	06/24/2002			
CALGENE LLC 1920 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95616			EXAMINER	
			NELSON, AMY J	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1638	22	
DATE MAILED: 06/24/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Interview Summary**

Application No. <b>09/075,375</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Harry Y. Yamamoto, et al.</b>
Examiner <b>Amy Nelson</b>	Art Unit <b>1638</b>



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amy Nelson

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Alissa Eagle

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jun 18, 2002

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy is given to 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

*Attorney called to inquire about the status of the application. Attorney was informed that because applicant had successfully addressed all the rejections of record from the final rejection mailed 7/27/01 in the timely response filed 10/12/01, the application had not gone abandoned 1/27/01, even though applicant had not filed a notice of appeal. Furthermore, the finality of the office action mailed 7/27/01 was withdrawn upon mailing of the non-final office action on 3/12/02, raising new grounds of rejection.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required